

|   |                               |                               |  |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | Application No.<br>10/642,566 | Applicant(s)<br>STAMPS ET AL. |  |
|   | Examiner<br>Sean P. Shechtman | Art Unit<br>2125              |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Sean P. Shechtman.

(2) Grant Kang.

**Status of Application:** pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 January 2006

**Time:** 4:00pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Claim objections and 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejections*

Claims discussed:

*40, 47, 58*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant clarified that there is a variation in wire length due to a natural variation in bale dimension. In light of the interview, the rejection of claim 47, regarding the term "about", has been withdrawn.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)